

1 J. CURTIS EDMONDSON (SBN 236105)  
2 Edmondson IP Law  
3 Beaverton Plaza Building  
4 3720 SW 141st Avenue, Suite 212  
5 Beaverton, OR 97005  
6 Phone: (503) 336-3749  
7 Email: jcedmondson@edmolaw.com  
8 *Attorney for Defendant*  
9 JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP address 98.147.178.21

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC, )  
Plaintiff, )

vs. )

JOHN DOE subscriber assigned )  
IP address 98.147.178.21 )  
Defendant. )

Case No.: 2:25-cv-01884-TJH-SP

**DEFENDANT JOHN DOE'S**  
**ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE**  
**DEFENSES TO THE FIRST**  
**AMENDED COMPLAINT**

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Hon. Terry J. Hatter, Jr.  
Hon. Sheri Pym

FAC Filed: 7/2/2025  
Status Conference Date: TBD  
Discovery Cutoff: TBD  
Expert Cutoff: TBD  
Pre-Trial Date: 1/5/2026  
Trial Date: TBD

Defendant JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP address 98.147.178.21  
(hereinafter "John Doe" or "defendant") by and through counsel hereby answers  
Plaintiff Strike 3 Holding's ("S3H" or "Strike 3") first amended complaint for  
copyright infringement and asserts defendant's affirmative defenses.

**Introduction**

1. With regard to paragraph 1, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

2. With regard to paragraph 2, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

3. With regard to paragraph 3, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

4. With regard to paragraph 4, deny.

5. With regard to paragraph 5, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

6. With regard to paragraph 6, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

7. With regard to paragraph 7, admit.

**Jurisdiction and Venue**

8. With regard to paragraph 8, jurisdiction not contested.

9. With regard to paragraph 9, jurisdiction not contested, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made, and deny subsections (i) to (iii).

10. With regard to paragraph 10, venue not contested; deny factual allegations or inferences made.

**Parties**

11. With regard to paragraph 11, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

12. With regard to paragraph 12, admitted as to Defendant's identity and address.

**Factual Background**

13. With regard to paragraph 13, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

14. With regard to paragraph 14, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

15. With regard to paragraph 15, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

16. With regard to paragraph 16, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

17. With regard to paragraph 17, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

18. With regard to paragraph 18, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

19. With regard to paragraph 19, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

20. With regard to paragraph 20, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

21. With regard to paragraph 21, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

22. With regard to paragraph 22, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

23. With regard to paragraph 23, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

24. With regard to paragraph 24, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

25. With regard to paragraph 25, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

1           26. With regard to paragraph 26, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
2 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

3           27. With regard to paragraph 27, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
4 allegations made herein so therefore denies the allegations made.

5           28. With regard to paragraph 28, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
6 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

7           29. With regard to paragraph 29, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
8 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

9           30. With regard to paragraph 30, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
10 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

11           31. With regard to paragraph 31, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
12 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

13           32. With regard to paragraph 32, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
14 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

15           33. With regard to paragraph 33, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
16 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

17           34. With regard to paragraph 34, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
18 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

19           35. With regard to paragraph 35, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
20 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

21           36. With regard to paragraph 36, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
22 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

23           37. With regard to paragraph 37, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
24 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

25           38. With regard to paragraph 38, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
26 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

27           39. With regard to paragraph 39, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
28 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

1           40. With regard to paragraph 40, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
2 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

3           41. With regard to paragraph 41, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
4 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

5           42. With regard to paragraph 42, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
6 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

7           43. With regard to paragraph 43, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
8 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

9           44. With regard to paragraph 44, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
10 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

11           45. With regard to paragraph 45, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
12 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

13           46. With regard to paragraph 46, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
14 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

15           47. With regard to paragraph 47, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
16 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

17           48. With regard to paragraph 48, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
18 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

19           49. With regard to paragraph 49, John Doe has no specific knowledge of the  
20 allegations made herein, so therefore denies the allegations made.

21           50. With regard to paragraph 50, John Doe admits that Strike 3 has  
22 requested this relief under the Copyright Act, but denies Strike 3 is entitle to such  
23 relief.

24           51. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
25 therefore denies the allegations made.

26           52. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
27 therefore denies the allegations made.  
28

1           53. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
2 therefore denies the allegations made.

3           54. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
4 therefore denies the allegations made.

5           55. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
6 therefore denies the allegations made.

7           56. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
8 therefore denies the allegations made.

9           57. Admitted that John Doe's home is a single-family home.

10          58. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
11 therefore denies the allegations made.

12          59. Admitted that John Doe owns the home during the alleged period of  
13 infringement, denied that John Doe was present in the home for all the alleged  
14 infringements.

15          60. Denied that the only other occupants were John Doe's wife and parent.

16          61. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
17 therefore denies the allegations made.

18          62. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
19 therefore denies the allegations made.

20          63. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
21 therefore denies the allegations made.

22          64. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
23 therefore denies the allegations made.

24          65. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
25 therefore denies the allegations made.

26          66. Admitted that John Doe owns such a company.

27          67. John Doe has no specific knowledge of the allegations made herein, so  
28 therefore denies the allegations made.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

While John Doe has not infringed the works at issue, he is raising these affirmative defenses as is his right and should not be deemed an admission of infringement.

### **I. FAILURE TO MITIGATE DAMAGES**

1. S3H has made no attempt to mitigate any actual or perceived damages, including but not limited to sending out DMCA take-down notices to the ISP for the IP address. The cost of sending DMCA notices is practically nil compared to the cost of filing a lawsuit and the process of sending DMCA notices can be automated. The sending of DMCA notices to the ISP can result in the termination of the Internet account, solving the alleged problem for S3H. Further, S3H can identify the bittorrent “initial seeders” of the works at issue and take appropriate action, such as, either by corrupting the swarms, or by filing lawsuits against the seeders, rather, they actively encourage such seeding.

### **II. WAIVER AND IMPLIED LICENSE**

2. S3H has waived its copyrights by simultaneously distributing free versions of its movies across numerous websites, with no obligation by the consumer to purchase those movies.

### **III. COPYRIGHT MISUSE**

3. S3H’s litigation strategy of “mass litigation” without taking the preventative steps allow for under the DMCA to resolve such disputes in a low cost fashion overburdens limited Federal court resources that could be devoted to cognizable claims. *Omega S.A. v. Costco Wholesale Corp*, 776 F.3d 692, 700 (9th Cir. 2015).



1  
2 **V. FAILURE TO JOIN NECESSARY PARTIES**

3 4. S3H has failed to join all actual and potential joint infringers including,  
4 but not limited to, other participants in the BitTorrent swarm and the website that  
5 hosted the “.torrent” file.  
6

7 **VII. SINGLE SATISFACTION AND EQUITABLE OFFSET**

8  
9 5. Defendant claims that other members of the same torrent hash have  
10 settled barring further recovery. Further, Defendant is entitled to an equitable offset  
11 based on prior settlements for the same work.  
12

13 **VIII. STANDING**

14 6. Defendant lacks standing to bring these claims as they are not the owner  
15 of the copyright.  
16

17 //

18 //

19 //  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**JOHN DOE’S PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

John Doe respectfully prays and judgment entered as follows on the counterclaim:

- A. That John Doe did not infringe Strike 3’s works as listed on Exhibit A;
- B. That Strike 3 be enjoined from enforcing their copyrights as list on Exhibit A in equity for failing to send DMCA notices to alleged infringers;
- C. That John Doe is the prevailing party under the Copyright Act;
- D. That John Doe be entitled to reasonable attorney fees under the Copyright Act;
- E. That John Doe be entitled to costs of suit; and
- F. That John Doe be entitled to any other relief that this Court may allow.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 16, 2025

/s/ J. Curtis Edmondson  
J. Curtis Edmondson  
Edmondson IP Law  
Attorney for Defendant John Doe

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

John Doe hereby demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 16, 2025

/s/ J. Curtis Edmondson

J. Curtis Edmondson

Edmondson IP Law

Attorney for Defendant John Doe